



Journal of Social Well-Being
IKRAM ACADEMIA
 No. 6, Jalan Dagang SB 4/1
 Taman Sungai Besi Indah
 Seri Kembangan
 43300 Selangor
 Malaysia
 Phone +603-8945 6999
 Fax +603-8945 1772
 Website <http://www.ikramacademia.org.my>

Manuscript Review Form

Manuscript No.	
Manuscript Title:	
Date Received from Journal	
Date to send review report	
Reviewer Name:	
Date of Review:	

Reviewer's Blind Review Comments to Author

Kindly enter your ratings based on the following sections. Also please include your comments on section suggestion for improvement from the manuscript for ease of reference by the author.

1. Manuscript Quality:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Overall clarity and organization of the manuscript.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Appropriateness of the title to the content.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adequacy and accuracy of the abstract.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

2. Introduction:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Clarity and relevance of the research question/hypothesis.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Thoroughness of the literature review.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Appropriateness of the background information.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. Materials and Methods:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Clarity and completeness of the experimental design.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adequacy and appropriateness of materials used.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Transparency and replicability of the methods.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. Results:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Presentation and clarity of the results.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adequacy of statistical methods and data analysis.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Consistency with the study objectives and hypotheses.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Discussion:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Interpretation and discussion of the results.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Connection of results to existing literature.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Identification of limitations and potential biases.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Conclusion:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Appropriateness and significance of the conclusions.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Suggestions for future research based on the findings.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Figures and Tables:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Clarity and appropriateness of figures and tables.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adequacy of captions and references in figures/tables.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Compliance with journal guidelines for image quality.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. References:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Appropriateness and currency of references.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Accuracy and completeness of the citation format.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Language and Style:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Clarity and coherence of the writing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Use of appropriate terminology.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Suggestions for improvement in language and style.				

10. General Comments:

	Not Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Overall assessment of the manuscript.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Suggestions for improvement or revision.				
Any additional comments or concerns.				

	Accept as it is	Minor revisions required	Major revisions required	Reject
11. Recommendation:	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>